UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

IN RE: PARAGARD PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION	S : MDL DOCKET NO. 29/4 :
This document relates to: MINDY VERDUGO	: 1:20-md-02974-LMM :
vs.	: Civil Action No
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS UINC., ET AL.	SA, : :
<u>s</u>	HORT FORM COMPLAINT
Come(s) now the Plainti	off(s) named below, and for her/their Complaint against th
Defendant(s) named below, incorp	porate(s) the Second Amended Master Personal Injury Complain
(Doc No. 79), in MDL No. 2974 b	by reference. Plaintiff(s) further plead(s) as follows:
1. Name of Plaintiff p	placed with Paragard: MINDY VERDUGO
2. Name of Plaintiff's	Spouse (if a party to the case): N/A
3. If case is brought in	n a representative capacity, Name of Other Plaintiff and capacity
(i.e., administrator,	executor, guardian, conservator): N/A
4. State of Residence	of each Plaintiff (including any Plaintiff in a representative
capacity) at time of	filing of Plaintiff's original complaint: <u>ARIZONA</u>
5. State of Residence	of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard placement: <u>ARIZONA</u>
6. State of Residence	of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard removal: <u>ARIZONA</u>
7. District Court and	Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper
United States Distr	rict Court for the District of Arizona

- 8. Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) defendants against whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following five (5) Defendants are the only Defendants against whom a Short Form Complaint may be filed. No other entity may be added as a Defendant in a Short Form Complaint.):
- ☐ A. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
- ☐ B. Teva Women's Health, LLC
- ⊠ C. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc.
- ☑ D. The Cooper Companies, Inc.
- ⊠ E. CooperSurgical, Inc.
- 9. Basis of Jurisdiction
- Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a))
- ☐ Other (if Other, identify below)

10.

Date(s) Plaintiff	Placing Physicians(s) or other	Date Plaintiff's	Removal Physician(s)
had Paragard	Health Care Provider	Paragard was	or other Health Care
placed	(include City and State)	Removed	Provided (include
(DD/MM/YYYY)		(DD/MM/YYYY)	City and State)**
		*	**If multiple
		*If multiple	removal(s) or
		removals or	attempted removal
		attempted removal	procedures, list
		procedures, list	information
		date of each	separately.
		separately.	
On Information	Dr. Bruce Culbertson	1/25/2021	Dr. Hannah Pavlic
and belief:	Phoenix, AZ		Phoenix, AZ
8/1/2011			

11.	1. Plaintiff alleges breakage (other than thread or string breakage) of her Parag			
	remov	val.		
	Yes No			
12.	Brief	statement of injury(ies) Plaintiff is claiming:		
	As a	direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered and		
	contin	continues to suffer significant bodily and mental injuries, including but not limited to		
	pain a	and suffering, mental anguish, disfigurement, embarrassment, inconvenience, loss		
	<u>of ear</u>	nings, loss of reproductive health and past and potential future medical expenses.		
		iff reserves her right to allege additional injuries and complications specific to		
	her.			
13.	Produ	act Identification:		
	a.	Lot Number of Paragard placed in Plaintiff (if now known): <u>Unknown</u>		
	b.	Did you obtain your Paragard from anyone other than the Healthcare		
		Provider who placed your Paragard:		
		Yes No		
14.	Coun	Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):		
\boxtimes	Count I – Strict Liability / Design Defect			
\boxtimes	Count II – Strict Liability / Failure to Warn			
\boxtimes	Count III – Strict Liability / Manufacturing Defect			
\boxtimes	Coun	Count IV – Negligence		
\boxtimes	Coun	t V – Negligence / Design and Manufacturing Defect		

	Count VI – Negligence / Failure to Warn				
\boxtimes	Count VII – Negligent Misrepresentation				
\boxtimes	Count VIII – Breach of Express Warranty				
\boxtimes	Count IX – Breach of Implied Warranty				
\boxtimes	Count X – Violation of Consumer Protection Laws				
\boxtimes	Count XI – Gross Negligence				
\boxtimes	Count XII – Unjust Enrichment				
\boxtimes	Count XIII – Punitive Damages				
	Count XIV – Loss of Consortium				
	Other Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims not included in				
15.	"Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations:				
	a. Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"?				
	✓ Yes☐ No				
	b. If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond the facts				
	alleged in the Master Complaint, please state the facts and legal basis				
	applicable to the Plaintiff in support of those allegations below:				
	Prior to having the ParaGard IUD implanted, Plaintiff's healthcare providers told her				
	the ParaGard IUD was safe, effective, reliable, and that it could be easily removed.				

<u>IUD.</u>	She did 1	She did not know there was an issue with the ParaGard IUD. She contacted her	
<u>lawye</u>	rs after le	earning she might have a claim.	
Coun	t VII (Fra	aud & Deceit) and County VIII (Fraud by Omission) allegations:	
a.	Is Plair	ntiff bringing a claim under Count VII (Fraud & Deceit), Count VIII	
	(Fraud	by Omission), and/or any other claim for fraud or misrepresentation?	
	Yes No		
b.	If Yes	, the following information must be provided (in accordance with	
	Federa	l Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and/or 9, and/or with pleading requirements	
	applica	ble to Plaintiff's state law claims):	
	i.	The alleged statement(s) of material fact that Plaintiff alleges was false:	
		Paragard, a reversible form of birth control, was safe and effective.	
		Paragard was safe and/or safer than other reversible birth control	
		products on the market.	
	ii.	Who allegedly made the statement: <u>Defendants</u>	
	iii.	To whom the statement was allegedly made: Plaintiff and her	
		healthcare provider who implanted Paragard.	
	iv.	The date(s) on which the statement was made: <u>Defendants' statements</u>	
		are within the Paragard label and marketing materials at all relevant	
		times prior to implantation.	

those contained in the Master Complaint, the following information must be provided:

Case 1:23-mi-99999-UNA Document 143 Filed 01/16/23 Page 6 of 6

	a. What does Plaintiff allege is the manufacturing defect in her Paragard? N/A
18.	Plaintiff's demand for the relief sought if different than what is alleged in the Master
	Complaint: N/A
19.	Jury Demand:
\boxtimes	Jury Trial is demanded as to all counts
	Jury Trial is NOT demanded as to any count
	<u>/s/ Laura V. Yaeger</u> Attorney(s) for Plaintiff

Address, phone number, email address and Bar information:

Yaeger Law, PLLC
P.O. Box 530338
Saint Petersburg, FL 33747
727-202-5015
laura@yourlegalcounsel.net
FL Bar# 101972
TX Bar# 24011432